BROOME HIGH 381 Cherry Hill Road Spartanburg, SC 29307 9-12 High School GRADES 898 Students ENROLLMENT Harvey A. Dailey 864-579-8040 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. James O. Ray 864-579-8000 BOARD CHAIR Eddie Dearybury 864-579-8000 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2003 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Below Average Unsatisfactory Excellent Good Average 14 12 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: N/A SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

Broome High 4203026

PERFO	RMANCE -	TRENDS	OVER 4	4-YEAR	PERIOD
-------	----------	--------	--------	--------	--------

·	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Good	Excellent	N/A
2003 2004	Excellent	Unsatisfactory	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSA	GE OF ONE	or More	SUBTES	TS OF TH	E EXIT EX	KAM
	Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours					ith urs
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Passed all 3 subtests	63.2	70.3	69.5	72.9	68.4	70.1
Passed 2 subtests	16.6	18.1	19.8	15.6	17.1	17.0
Passed 1 subtest	13.8	7.1	7.2	7.7	9.0	7.9
Passed no subtests	6.5	4.8	3.6	3.8	5.5	4.6

	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
All Students	212	95.3	195	19.5	209	90.4	
Gender							
Male	95	98.9	89	22.5	94	93.6	
Female	117	92.3	106	17.0	115	87.8	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	48	89.6	47	2.1	50	84.0	
Hispanic	2	I/S	1	I/S	3	I/S	
White	160	96.9	144	25.0	152	94.1	
Other	2	I/S	3	I/S	4	I/S	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	4	I/S	17	0.0	31	38.7	
Students without disabilities	208	95.7	178	21.3	178	99.4	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	195	19.5	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	1	I/S	
Non-LEP	211	95.3	195	19.5	208	90.9	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	49	93.9	43	2.3	56	69.6	
Full-pay meals	163	95.7	152	24.3	153	98.0	

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at	19.5	15.4
four-year institutions*		
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	20.0	16.4
Seniors who met the grade point average	65.6	54.5

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Broome High 4203026

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 898)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	6.2%	Up from 3.7%	6.6%	7.3%
	97.7%	Up from 94.6%	95.3%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	13.0%	Up from 5.2%	7.3%	5.1%
With disabilities other than speech	11.9%	Up from 11.4%	12.1%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	3.7%	Up from 2.0%	9.1%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.9%	Down from 1.0%	3.3%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	22.7%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	1.0%	Up from 0.6%	3.6%	2.7%
	0.0%	No change	0.4%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center	r 31	Down from 47	467	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	28.4%	Down from 28.8%	32.8%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	81.3%	Up from 80.6%	77.5%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	100.0%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 60)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	65.0%	Up from 61.4%	55.6%	51.7%
Continuing contract teachers	80.0%	Up from 78.9%	84.7%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	r 81.6%	Up from 80.4%	86.8%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.3%	Up from 95.8%	96.3%	95.8%
	\$45,930	Up 0.5%	\$40,972	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	13.2 days	Up from 11.2 days	9.5 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	8.0	Up from 7.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	25.6 to 1	Up from 11.4 to 1	27.6 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time	93.0%	Up from 89.4%	90.5%	90.1%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$7,489	Up 8.2%	\$6,376	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	47.1%	Up from 46.5%	57.6%	57.8%
	Excellent	Up from Good	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	Up from 98.6%	78.9%	87.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	,		, , ,	, , -

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Broome High 4203026

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Broome High School faculty and students shared in many positive experiences during the 2002-2003 school year. Broome was recognized by the state as a Palmetto Gold Award recipient for obtaining the highest level of student achievement. The senior class included eight Palmetto Scholarship recipients. One hundred twenty-eight seniors were eligible for the Life Scholarship and 63 seniors were eligible for the Hope Scholarship. This senior class earned approximately \$3,000,000 in scholarship monies. Our Media Communications program received the Innovative Program Award from the S.C. Association of Educational Technology, and the Literary Magazine "Insights and Echoes" earned the distinction of All-State from the S.C. Scholastic Press Association.

Probably two of the most meaningful and emotional times of the 2002-2003 school year centered on our attempts to involve and recognize members of our community. On Sept. 11, our faculty and students held a ceremony to commemorate the tragedy of Sept. 11, 2001 and honored all emergency personnel within our school district at the event. In November, as part of our involvement with the Palmetto's Greatest Generation Project, a Veteran's Day Assembly and Reception was held.

We continue to focus on academic improvement during the 2003-2004 school year. Both new programs offered last year, Air Force JROTC and Project Lead the Way, were successfully implemented. We have two additional offerings this year, Digital Electronics and Forensic Science. We are also continuing our membership in the Making Schools Work Project (MSW), a Southern Regional Educational Board initiative that provides staff development, student test/survey data and technical assistance.

Our strategic plan calls for implementing high standards that are challenging and demanding. We realize that this focus must continue over a number of years, not just one year. With the cooperation and support of students, parents, teachers, and with the resources continually supplied by our district, we know the 2003-2004 school year will be a positive experience for our students.

Harvey Dailey, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	53	166	155				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	88.5%	87.1%	88.7%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	98.0%	89.4%	67.8%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	74.5%	88.5%	84.5%				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 - Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.